



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/079,400 02/22/2002 Toru Ishii X2007.0094/P094 7014 EXAMINER 7590 05/21/2004 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP ASHLEY, BOYER DOLINGER 41st Floor ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-2714 3724 DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/079,40 | 0 | ISHII ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | Boyer D. A | | 3724 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply | ppears on the | cover sheet with the | correspondence addre | ISS |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perious to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no evel eply within the statu d will apply and wil ute, cause the appli | nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ounication. |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 March 2004</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,6,7,10,11,14 and Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,8,9,12 and 13 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | <u>l 15</u> is/are with d. | | ration. | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on $_$ is/are: a) \square ac | ccepted or b)[| objected to by the | Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | • • | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the I | • | | - | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have beer nts have beer iority docume eau (PCT Rule | n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receive 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Sta | age |
| Attachmen | nt(s) | | | | |
| 1) Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| 3) 🛭 Infor Pape | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) er No(s)/Mail Date 2. | 8) | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-15 | i2) |
| S Patent and 1 | Trademark Office | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-9, 12-13) in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 3, 6-7, 10-11 and 14-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the "can be" language is confusing, in that, it is not clear if the workpiece is mounted upon the table or if the punch is engaged with the die hole. Better language would be "... so that a workpiece is mountable upon its upper surface" and "... is fitted a punch which is engageable into said die hole". There is no positive antecedent basis for "the raising and lowering track" and "the position" on lines 12-13 and 16-17, (all occurrences).



Art Unit: 3724

In claim 2, there is no positive antecedent basis for "the raising and lowering track" or "the position" (all occurrences).

In claim 4, there is no positive antecedent basis for "the optical path" and "the position" (all occurrences).

In claim 13, there is no positive antecedent basis for "the relative position".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & 103

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Alzmann et al. or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Alzmann et al., U.S. Patent 4.829,375.

Alzmann et al. discloses the same invention as claimed including, e.g., a punch and dies (144, see column 10, lines 55-65); a workholder table (168/170) with a hole for exposing the die (see Figures 7-8, wherein it is shown the table made up of four connected sections with openings between the section for facilitating the punches and

Art Unit: 3724

dies); a shift mechanism (see Figure 7 and column 10, lines 21-40) which shifts the relative position of said die and said table; a raising and lowering (see column 10, lines 30-65) mechanism which raise/lower said punch along a first axis perpendicular to said table; a photographic device (190, 400) which is arranged to take an image from the direction along a second axis oblique to said first axis (the cameras are arranged and oriented/mounted along a second axis oblique to the first axis even though the image is reflective perpendicularly to the workpiece by use of mirrors/reflective surfaces); and a controller (952) capable of controlling said shift mechanism according to said image taken by said photographic device.

In the alternative, even if it is argued that Alzmann et al. lacks the photographic device at an oblique angle relative to the first axis, it should be noted that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the camera at an angle relative to the first axis or at any location in order to move the camera to more suitable location out of the way, because it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

9 Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-9, and 12 appear to be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.



Application/Control Number: 10/079,400

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boyer D. Ashley whose telephone number is 703-308-1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Bover D. Ashlev **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3724

BDA May 17, 2004